<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-11 are currently being examined in this application, and stand rejected. Claims 1

and 11 are independent claims in this pending application, with claims 2-10 depending directly or

indirectly from claim 1. The title of the invention has been amended in order to more particularly

point out the subject matter to which the applicants regard as their invention. The applicants

respectfully submit that no new matter has been added, and it is believed that this amendment and

the following remarks are fully responsive to the Office Action dated May 15, 2008.

The office action objects to the title of this invention as being not descriptive. In response, the

applicants have adopted the title suggested by the Examiner: "Mobile Phone having a non-telephone

function and timing reset unit". Withdrawal of the objection to the title is now in order, and

respectfully solicited.

The office action rejects claims 1-3 and 5-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Tagawa et al. (US Patent No. 6,947,728) in view of Yamedera et al, (US Patent Pub. No.

2002/0123368). In making this rejection, the action asserts that each element of these claims is

found within Tagawa et al., except where the office action acknowledges that Tagawa et al. does not

teach "a/an auto-power-off unit." For the auto-power-off unit, the office action cites the Yamedera

-3-

et al. Particularly, the office action asserts that the Yamedera et al. reference teaches resetting the

timer at the end of a telephone call, thereby teaching resetting the timer to an initial state for each

execution of "a predetermined operation relating to the telephone function".

However, any reference in Yamedera et al. to such an element is at best vague and not

enabling to a person having ordinary skill in the art. The reference only speaks to auto-power-on

and auto-power-off functions in two places: once in paragraph [0100], and once in figure 8(b), in its

discussion of "CLOCK ALARM FUNCTIONS". Further, these descriptions only mention the auto-

power-on and auto-power-off functions in passing, and are too vague to understand how these

functions would operate or how to properly implement such power features. For example, a person

having ordinary skill in the art would not understand what Yamedera et al. powers on or off (e.g., the

screen, or the entire device, etc) with its automatic function, and Tagawa et al. does not provide any

sort of guidance on this point. In contrast, claims 1 and 11 recite how an auto-power-off unit and an

automatic stopping method, respectively, operate, such that one of skill in the art would be able to

complete the invention.

In light of these remarks, claims 1 and 11 are patentable and in condition for allowance.

Withdrawal of the § 103(a) rejection is now in order and respectfully solicited.

Due to their dependence on claim 1, it is also believed that the combination of Tagawa et al.

-4-

in view of Yamedera et al. does not render claims 2-3, or claims 5-10, unpatentable as being

obvious. Further to the remarks concerning claim 1 above, claims 8 and 9 are patentable for the

additional reasons discussed here.

The office action asserts that Yamedera et al. teaches the further limitations of claim 8 in

paragraph [0100]. However, this paragraph only teaches the existence of an alarm unit, but in no

way teaches a relationship of the alarm unit to the reset unit as suggested by the office action. In

fact, the disclosure of paragraph [0100] does not suggest any attributes of the alarm unit, except that

a user may set the alarm from the "CLOCK ALARM FUNCTIONS" selecting function screen (See

paragraphs [0099] and [0100]). Thus, Yamedera et al. does not teach resetting the timer to an initial

state when the alarm setting has been made.

The office action asserts that the embodiment of claim 9 is obvious by combining the

disclosure of Tagawa et al., teaching a unit to automatically stop execution of the non-telephone

function, with the disclosure of the alarm unit of Yamedera et al. However, neither Yamedera et al.

nor Tawaga et al. discloses "the alarm setting has been made by the user although the auto-power-off

setting has not been made."

For these reasons, claims 2-3 and claims 5-10 are believed to be patentable and in condition

for allowance. Withdrawal of the § 103(a) rejection to these claims is in order and is respectfully

-5-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/573,805

Amendment filed August 15, 2008

Reply to OA dated May 15, 2008

solicited.

The office action rejects claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tagawa

et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,947,728) in view of Yamedera et al (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0123368)

and further in view of Yoshinaga (U.S. Patent No.: 7,096,045). However, because Yoshinaga does

not teach an auto-power-off unit as found in claim 1, it is asserted, for the reasons discussed above,

that the cited combination of references does not render claim 4 obvious.

As such, claim 4 is now in condition for allowance, and withdrawal of this rejection is

respectfully solicited.

In view of the aforementioned amendment and accompanying remarks, Claims 1-11 are in

condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact the applicants undersigned attorney at the telephone number

indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

-6**-**

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/573,805 Amendment filed August 15, 2008 Reply to OA dated May 15, 2008

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP

Jason T. Somma Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 61,526

JTS/

Atty. Docket No. **060199** Suite 400 1420 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 659-2930 ext. 211

23850

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE